arXiv:1701.06987v1 [math.AT] 24 Jan 2017

THE CONFIGURATION CATEGORY OF A PRODUCT

PEDRO BOAVIDA DE BRITO AND MICHAEL S. WEISS

Abstract. A construction related to the Boardman-Vogt tensor product of operads allows us to describe the configuration category of a product manifold $M \times N$ in terms of the configuration categories of the factors M and N.

The configuration category of a manifold M is a Segal space $\mathsf{con}(M)$ which aggregates the configuration space data of the manifold. Its objects are configurations of points in M; morphisms are paths of configurations in which points may fuse.

In [1], we described a way in which configuration categories allow for enlightening homotopical descriptions of spaces of smooth embeddings in high codimension.

In this paper, we study the relation between configuration categories and products. The result is a description of the configuration category of a product manifold in terms of the configuration categories of its factors. More precisely, we construct a functor $\boxtimes$ on the category of simplicial spaces over Fin, the nerve of the category of finite sets, and prove the following.

Theorem 0.1. *There is a natural weak equivalence of Segal spaces*

$$\mathsf{con}(M) \boxtimes \mathsf{con}(N) \to \mathsf{con}(M \times N)$$

over Fin*, for any two topological manifolds* M *and* N*.*

When M and N are Euclidean spaces, this theorem is somewhat reminiscent of the additivity theorem of Dunn [3], Fiedorowicz-Vogt [2] and Lurie [5]. We comment on the relation between the two theorems at the end of the paper.

1. Boxes

As in [1], let Fin be the category whose objects are the finite sets $\underline{k} = \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, where $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. The morphisms from $\underline{k}$ to $\underline{\ell}$ are the maps from $\underline{k}$ to $\underline{\ell}$, not required to be order preserving.

Definition 1.1. A surjective map $f : \underline{k} \to \underline{\ell}$ is *selfic* if the injective map $\underline{\ell} \to \underline{k}$ defined by $x \mapsto \min(f^{-1}(x)) \in \underline{k}$ is increasing.

Remark 1.2. Let η be an equivalence relation on $\underline{k}$ with ℓ distinct (nonempty) equivalence classes. Then there is a unique selfic map $f : \underline{k} \to \underline{\ell}$ such that the equivalence classes of η are precisely the sets $f^{-1}(t)$ where $t = 1, 2, \dots, \ell$.

Let Boxfin be the following (discrete) small category. An object of Boxfin is a diagram of the shape $\underline{r} \leftarrow \underline{k} \to \underline{s}$ in Fin where the two arrows are selfic (surjective)

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 57R40, 55U40, 55P48.

M.W. was supported by the Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung through the A.v.Humboldt foundation (Humboldt professorship 2012-2017). P.B. was supported by FCT through grant SFRH/BPD/99841/2014.

maps and the resulting map $\underline{k} \to \underline{r} \times \underline{s}$ is *injective*. A morphism in Boxfin is a natural transformation between such diagrams in Fin. There are forgetful functors

$$p_0, p_1, p_2 \colon \mathsf{Boxfin} \to \mathsf{Fin}$$

taking the object $\underline{r} \leftarrow \underline{k} \to \underline{s}$ above to $\underline{k}$, $\underline{r}$ and $\underline{s}$ respectively. Moreover, the map p_0 makes $N\mathsf{Boxfin}$ into a fiberwise complete Segal space over $N\mathsf{Fin}$.

Definition 1.3. Let X and Y be fiberwise complete Segal spaces over $N\mathsf{Fin}$. The simplicial space $X \boxtimes^{\mathrm{pre}} Y$ is the (levelwise) pullback of

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & N\mathsf{Boxfin} \\ & & \big\downarrow{\scriptstyle (p_1,p_2)} \\ X \times Y & \longrightarrow & N\mathsf{Fin} \times N\mathsf{Fin} \end{array}$$

It comes with a preferred reference map to $N\mathsf{Fin}$ which is the composition

$$X \boxtimes^{\mathrm{pre}} Y \longrightarrow N\mathsf{Boxfin} \xrightarrow{p_0} N\mathsf{Fin}\ .$$

Proposition 1.4. *$X \boxtimes^{\mathrm{pre}} Y$ is a fiberwise complete Segal space over $N\mathsf{Fin}$.*

Proof. A homotopy pullback of Segal spaces is a Segal space. Therefore $X \boxtimes^{\mathrm{pre}} Y$ is a Segal space. (We are using the fact that (p_1, p_2) from $N\mathsf{Boxfin}$ to $N\mathsf{Fin} \times N\mathsf{Fin}$ is a degreewise fibration. This is trivial since $N\mathsf{Fin} \times N\mathsf{Fin}$ is a simplicial set alias simplicial discrete space.)

If, in a homotopy pullback square of Segal spaces

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & B \\ \big\downarrow & & \big\downarrow \\ C & \longrightarrow & D\ , \end{array}$$

the lower horizontal arrow makes C fiberwise complete over D, then the upper horizontal arrow makes A fiberwise complete over B. This implies that $X \boxtimes^{\mathrm{pre}} Y$ is fiberwise complete over $N\mathsf{Boxfin}$ (take $C := X \times Y$ and $D = N\mathsf{Fin} \times N\mathsf{Fin}$). Since $N\mathsf{Boxfin}$ is fiberwise complete over $N\mathsf{Fin}$ via p_0 , it follows that $X \boxtimes^{\mathrm{pre}} Y$ is fiberwise complete over $N\mathsf{Fin}$. □

Example 1.5. Take $X = \mathsf{con}(M)$ and $Y = \mathsf{con}(N)$, where M and N are topological manifolds. We use the particle models, so that $X = N\mathcal{A}$ and $Y = N\mathcal{B}$ where $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are certain topological categories. For example, the space of objects of $\mathcal{A}$ is the disjoint union of the spaces $\mathrm{emb}(\underline{k}, M)$ where $k \geq 0$. Then $X \boxtimes^{\mathrm{pre}} Y = N(\mathcal{A} \bar{\times} \mathcal{B})$ where an object of $\mathcal{A} \bar{\times} \mathcal{B}$ is a triple consisting of injections $f \colon \underline{r} \to M$, $g \colon \underline{s} \to N$ and $u \colon \underline{k} \to \underline{r} \times \underline{s}$ such that the coordinates $\underline{k} \to \underline{s}$ and $\underline{k} \to \underline{r}$ of u are selfic (surjective) maps. These triples form a space in a fairly obvious way. A morphism in $\mathcal{A} \bar{\times} \mathcal{B}$, say from an object (f^0, g^0, u^0) to an object (f^1, g^1, u^1), is a triple consisting of a morphism from f^0 to f^1 in $\mathcal{A}$, a morphism from g^0 to g^1 in $\mathcal{B}$ and a map

$$\underline{k}^0 \longrightarrow \underline{k}^1$$

over $\underline{r}^0 \times \underline{s}^0 \longrightarrow \underline{r}^1 \times \underline{s}^1$ (where $\underline{k}^j$, $\underline{r}^j$, $\underline{s}^j$ are the sources of u^j, f^j, g^j respectively, for $j = 0, 1$).

Remark 1.6. For fiberwise complete Segal spaces X and Y over $N\mathsf{Fin}$ as above, let $Z = X \boxtimes^{\mathrm{pre}} Y$. Select an object

$$z \in Z_0$$

and let $x \in X_0$ and $y \in Y_0$ be the coordinates of z. We wish to relate the comma constructions X/x and Y/y to Z/z. Clearly X/x, Y/y and Z/z are again fiberwise complete Segal spaces over $N\mathsf{Fin}$ via the forgetful maps to X, Y and Z, respectively. It turns out that Z/z is a full Segal subspace of $X/x \boxtimes^{\mathrm{pre}} Y/y$, determined as such by a subset of π_0 of $\big((X/x) \boxtimes^{\mathrm{pre}} (Y/y)\big)_0$. To characterize the objects which belong to that full Segal subspace, we only need to know the object of Boxfin covered by z; call it λ. An object

$$u \ \in \ (X/x) \boxtimes^{\mathrm{pre}} (Y/y)$$

also covers an object in Boxfin, call it κ, but in addition this comes with a distinguished pair of morphisms $p_1(\kappa) \to p_1(\lambda)$ and $p_2(\kappa) \to p_2(\lambda)$ in Fin. That pair of morphisms in Fin has at most one lift (across p_0) to a single morphism $\kappa \to \lambda$ in Boxfin. The object u of $(X/x) \boxtimes^{\mathrm{pre}} (Y/y)$ belongs to Z/z if and only if such a lift exists.

2. Conservatization

Definition 2.1. [1, §8] [4] Let $w\colon A \to B$ be a map of simplicial spaces. We say that w is *conservative* (or that A is conservative over B) if, for every monotone surjection $u\colon [\ell] \to [k]$ in Δ, the following diagram is weakly homotopy cartesian:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_k & \xrightarrow{u^*} & A_\ell \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle w} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle w} \\ B_k & \xrightarrow{u^*} & B_\ell \end{array}$$

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a small (discrete) category and let X be a fiberwise complete Segal space over the nerve $N\mathcal{C}$, with reference map $w\colon X \to N\mathcal{C}$.

Lemma 2.2. *The following conditions on $w\colon X \to N\mathcal{C}$ are equivalent.*

a) *An element $\gamma \in X_1$ is weakly invertible if and only if $w(\gamma) \in N_1\mathcal{C}$ is an isomorphism.*
b) *w is conservative.*

Proof. See [1, §8].

A formula for a localization procedure to "make" simplicial spaces over B conservative over B is given in [1, §8] in the case where B is a simplicial *set*. The name of the procedure is *conservatization* and if the input is $w\colon A \to B$, then the output of the procedure is a commutative diagram of simplicial spaces

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A & \xleftarrow{\simeq} & A^! & \longrightarrow & \Lambda A \\ & {\scriptstyle w}\searrow & \downarrow & \swarrow & \\ & & B & & \end{array} \tag{2.1}$$

where ΛA is conservative over B. The map from $A^!$ to A is a degreewise weak equivalence. More precisely, $A \mapsto \Lambda A$ and $A \mapsto A^!$ are endofunctors of the category of simplicial spaces over B. The horizontal arrows in diagram (2.1) are natural transformations.

Definition 2.3. The formula for ΛA is

$$(\Lambda A)_r := \operatorname*{hocolim}_{[r]\to[k]\leftarrow[\ell]} A_\ell \times_{B_\ell} B_k$$

where the homotopy colimit is taken over the following category $\mathcal{E}(r)$. An object is a diagram $[r] \to [k] \leftarrow [\ell]$ in Δ where the second arrow, from $[\ell]$ to $[k]$, is onto. A morphism is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} [r] & \longrightarrow & [k] & \longleftarrow & [\ell] \\ \| & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ [r] & \longrightarrow & [k'] & \longleftarrow & [\ell'] \end{array}$$

in Δ (top row = source, bottom row = target). The reference map from ΛA to B is fairly obvious from the definition of ΛA ; it is the composition

$$\operatorname*{hocolim}_{[r]\to[k]\leftarrow[\ell]} A_\ell \times_{B_\ell} B_k \quad \longrightarrow \quad \operatorname*{colim}_{[r]\to[k]\leftarrow[\ell]} B_k \quad \longrightarrow \quad B_r\,.$$

The formula for $A^!$ is

$$(A^!)_r = \operatorname*{hocolim}_{[r]\to[k]} A_k = \operatorname*{hocolim}_{[r]\to[k]\xleftarrow{=}[\ell]} A_\ell \times_{B_\ell} B_k$$

so that $A^! \subset \Lambda A$.

Definition 2.4. Sometimes the following variant of definition 2.3 is useful. Let $\mathcal{E}_0(r) \subset \mathcal{E}(r)$ be the full subcategory of $\mathcal{E}(r)$ spanned by the objects $[r] \to [k] \leftarrow [\ell]$ of $\mathcal{E}(r)$ where *both* arrows $[r] \to [k]$ and $[k] \leftarrow [\ell]$ are surjective. Let

$$(\Lambda^\flat A)_r := \operatorname*{hocolim}_{[r]\to[k]\leftarrow[\ell]} A_\ell \times_{B_\ell} B_k$$

where now the homotopy direct limit is taken over $\mathcal{E}_0(r)$. Advantage: it is a smaller package than $(\Lambda A)_r$. Disadvantage: it is not so clear how $[r] \mapsto (\Lambda^\flat A)_r$ is a simplicial space. The healing observation here is that the inclusion $\mathcal{E}_0(r) \to \mathcal{E}(r)$ has a right adjoint. This also leads to a simplicial map $\Lambda A \to \Lambda^\flat A$ which is a weak equivalence. More details are given in [1, §8].

It is shown in [1, §8] that the construction Λ has the properties of a homotopical left adjoint (for the inclusion of the full subcategory of the conservative objects). In particular diagram (2.1) describes the homotopical unit of the adjunction. The inclusion $A^! \to \Lambda A$ is a degreewise weak equivalence if A is conservative over B to begin with.

It should not be taken for granted that ΛA is a fiberwise complete Segal space over B if A is a fiberwise complete Segal space over B. Sufficient conditions for that are given in section 3 below.

In section 4 we give a more illuminating description of the conservatization process which uses model category notions. Definition 2.3 remains useful for example as a tool in the proof of theorem 2.7 below.

In section 4 we also give an illuminating description of a process which achieves fiberwise completion and conservatization simultaneously, in a universal manner. An explicit description is as follows. We work with simplicial spaces A equipped with a reference map to a fixed simplicial set $B = N\mathcal{C}$. Write K for a natural Segalization and fiberwise completion procedure. That is to say, K is an endofunctor on simplicial spaces over B together with a natural transformation $\eta\colon \mathrm{id} \to K$ such that

$KA \to B$ is always a fiberwise complete Segal space, and η has certain good properties justifying the claim that it is the unit of a derived adjunction. As mentioned above, we do not claim that ΛKA is always a Segal space, let alone a fiberwise complete Segal space over B. But let us define $(\Lambda K)^\infty(A)$ as the homotopy colimit of the diagram of natural maps



A sequential homotopy colimit of simplicial spaces over B which are conservative over B is again conservative over B. Therefore $(\Lambda K)^\infty A$ is conservative over B, for any $A \to B$ as above. By the same reasoning, $(\Lambda K)^\infty A = (K\Lambda)^\infty(KA)$ is a fiberwise complete Segal space over B. Therefore applying $(\Lambda K)^\infty$ is a way to enforce the properties *conservative over B* and *fiberwise complete Segal* simultaneously.

Clearly, if a single application of ΛK to $A \to B$ has an outcome $\Lambda KA \to B$ which is already a fiberwise complete Segal space over B for whatever reasons, then there is no need to inflict ΛK again and again; in other words the inclusion $(\Lambda K)A \to (\Lambda K)^\infty A$ is then a degreewise weak equivalence. More specifically, if $A \to B$ is a fiberwise complete Segal space to begin with, and if a single application of Λ to $A \to B$ has an outcome $\Lambda A \to B$ which is already a fiberwise complete Segal space over B for whatever reasons, then there is no need to inflict ΛK again and again. In other words the inclusion of $\Lambda A \simeq \Lambda KA$ in $(\Lambda K)^\infty A$ is then a degreewise weak equivalence. In section 3 we deal with a simplicial map $A \to B$ which makes A into a fiberwise complete Segal space over B, where B is the nerve of a (discrete) category. An additional condition is formulated which ensures that $\Lambda A \to B$ is a fiberwise complete Segal space. The condition is severe but we take consolation in the fact that it is satisfied in the situation where $B = N\mathsf{Fin}$ and $A = \mathsf{con}(M) \boxtimes^{\mathrm{pre}} \mathsf{con}(N)$, as in example 1.5.

Definition 2.5. Suppose that X and Y are conservative over $N\mathsf{Fin}$, in addition to being fiberwise complete Segal spaces over $N\mathsf{Fin}$. Let

$$X \boxtimes Y := (\Lambda K)^\infty(X \boxtimes^{\mathrm{pre}} Y)$$

be the simplicial space over $N\mathsf{Fin}$ obtained from $X \boxtimes^{\mathrm{pre}} Y$ by inflicting conservatization and fiberwise complete Segalization simultaneously on $X \boxtimes^{\mathrm{pre}} Y$.

Example 2.6. Take $X = \mathsf{con}(M)$, $Y = \mathsf{con}(N)$ and $Z = \mathsf{con}(M \times N)$. These are all conservative and fiberwise complete over $N\mathsf{Fin}$. The particle models are preferred because we want to allow topological manifolds. Form $X \boxtimes^{\mathrm{pre}} Y$ as in definition 1.3. There is an easy map from what is essentially $X \boxtimes^{\mathrm{pre}} Y$ to Z over $N\mathsf{Fin}$. Here is a semi-detailed description. We use the particle models, so that X, Y and Z are the nerves of certain topological categories $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{C}$, respectively. Then $X \boxtimes^{\mathrm{pre}} Y$ is also the nerve of a topological category (over Fin) which we called $\mathcal{A}\bar{\times}\mathcal{B}$ in example 1.5. Therefore we should be looking for a continuous functor from $\mathcal{A}\bar{\times}\mathcal{B}$ to $\mathcal{C}$. Clearly an object

$$(f : \underline{r} \to M,\, g : \underline{s} \to N,\, u : \underline{k} \to \underline{r} \times \underline{s})$$

of $\mathcal{A}\bar{\times}\mathcal{B}$ (notation of example 1.5) determines an object of $\mathcal{C}$ given by

$$(f \times g)u : \underline{k} \to M \times N\,.$$

Roughly the same prescription works for morphisms. A morphism

$$\Gamma : (f^0, g^0, u^0) \longrightarrow (f^1, g^1, u^1)$$

in $\mathcal{A}\bar{\times}\mathcal{B}$ determines a morphism from $(f^0 \times g^0)u^0$ to $(f^1 \times g^1)u^1$ in $\mathcal{C}$ *provided* the morphisms $f^0 \to g^0$ and $f^1 \to g^1$ in $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ determined by Γ, respectively, are parameterized by the same interval $[0, a]$. This is then an extra condition that we must add; so we obtain a continuous functor from a certain subcategory (nameless) of $\mathcal{A}\bar{\times}\mathcal{B}$ to $\mathcal{C}$. The inclusion of the nerve of that subcategory in the nerve of $\mathcal{A}\bar{\times}\mathcal{B}$ is of course a weak equivalence.

Since Z is fiberwise complete and conservative over $N\mathsf{Fin}$, this easy map from what is essentially $X \boxtimes^{\mathrm{pre}} Y$ to Z must have a unique (in the derived sense) factorization through $X \boxtimes Y$. A proof of this will be given in Proposition 4.2 below. Here $X \boxtimes Y$ can be taken as $\Lambda(X \boxtimes^{\mathrm{pre}} Y)$, by the remarks just above or equivalently by Corollary 4.3.

Theorem 2.7. *The resulting map* $\mathsf{con}(M) \boxtimes \mathsf{con}(N) \to \mathsf{con}(M \times N)$ *is a weak equivalence.*

This will be proved in section 3 after some preparations. But we can make a start here by proving a much weaker statement.

Lemma 2.8. *The resulting map* $\mathsf{con}(M) \boxtimes \mathsf{con}(N) \longrightarrow \mathsf{con}(M \times N)$ *is a weak equivalence in simplicial degree* 0.

Proof. The ordered configuration spaces of $M \times N$ have a natural stratification related to the product structure. Indeed, an embedding $a : \underline{k} \to M \times N$ determines maps $\underline{k} \to M$ and $\underline{k} \to N$ which can fail to be injective. These two maps have unique factorizations

$$\underline{k} \twoheadrightarrow \underline{r} \rightarrowtail M\,, \qquad\qquad \underline{k} \twoheadrightarrow \underline{s} \rightarrowtail N\,,$$

of type *selfic (surjective) map followed by injective map*. Therefore we have a stratification of $Q = \mathrm{emb}(\underline{k}\,,\, M \times N)$ where the strata correspond to certain pairs of selfic maps $(\underline{k} \to \underline{r},\, \underline{k} \to \underline{s}\,)$. Now apply Miller theory [6], [7] to this stratification. This gives a description of Q as the realization of a certain Segal space: the nerve of the exit path category $\mathcal{EP}_Q$ of the stratified space Q. This is a topological category.

In particular the object space of $\mathcal{EP}_Q$ is by definition the topological disjoint union of the strata of Q, where each stratum has its own topology as a subspace of Q.

For our purposes it is slightly better to use the opposite Segal space, corresponding to the reverse exit path category $\mathcal{EP}_Q^{\mathrm{op}}$. (This does not affect the realization.) Miller has an explicit weak equivalence

$$|N\mathcal{EP}_Q^{\mathrm{op}}| \cong |N\mathcal{EP}_Q| \longrightarrow Q\,.$$

We can afford to be less explicit. It suffices to observe that the inclusion of $\mathcal{EP}_Q$ in the full path category $\mathcal{P}_Q$ of Q leads to a weak equivalence

$$|N\mathcal{EP}_Q^{\mathrm{op}}| \longrightarrow |N\mathcal{P}_Q^{\mathrm{op}}| \;\simeq\; Q.$$

This follows from naturality properties of Miller's weak equivalence $|N\mathcal{EP}_Q| \to Q$, since the ordinary path category $\mathcal{P}_Q$ is the exit path category of Q with the trivial stratification. Next, we may replace geometric realizations by homotopy colimits (over the indexing category Δ). Therefore we arrive at a weak equivalence

$$\operatorname*{hocolim}_{[r]}\ (N\mathcal{EP}_Q^{\mathrm{op}})_r \;\longrightarrow\; \operatorname*{hocolim}_{[r]}\ (N\mathcal{P}_Q^{\mathrm{op}})_r\ .$$

Unraveling definition 2.4 (of $\Lambda^\flat$) we recognize this as the map of spaces

- induced by $X \boxtimes^{\mathrm{pre}} Y \to Z$ as in example 2.6
- from the part of $\Lambda^\flat(X \boxtimes^{\mathrm{pre}} Y)$ sitting over $\underline{k} \in (N\mathsf{Fin})_0$
- to the part of $\Lambda^\flat Z \simeq Z$ sitting over $\underline{k} \in (N\mathsf{Fin})_0$.

□

3. Property beta

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a small (discrete) category.

Lemma 3.1. *If A is a fiberwise complete Segal space over $B = N\mathcal{C}$ and if ΛA is a Segal space, then ΛA is also fiberwise complete over B.*

Proof. See [1, §8].

Definition 3.2. [1, §8]. Suppose that $w\colon A \to B$ makes A into a fiberwise complete Segal space over B. We say that $w\colon A \to B$ has *property beta* if, for $f \in A_1$ with source $x = d_0 f \in A_0$ and target $y = d_1 f \in A_0$ such that $w(f) \in B_1 = N_1\mathcal{C}$ is an identity morphism, the map $\Lambda(A/x) \to \Lambda(A/y)$ induced by f is a weak equivalence in degree 0.

Theorem 3.3. *Under conditions as in definition* 3.2*, if $A \to B$ has property beta, then ΛA is a fiberwise complete Segal space over B. Moreover, for any $z \in A_0$ the canonical simplicial map* (details below) *from $\Lambda(A/z)$ to $(\Lambda A/z)$ is a weak equivalence in degree* 0*.*

Proof. See [1, §8].

Remark 3.4. [1, §8]. In diagram (2.1), the map from $\pi_0 A_0^! \cong \pi_0 A_0$ to $\pi_0((\Lambda A)_0)$ determined by the horizontal arrows is surjective. This can be seen from the definition

$$(\Lambda A)_0 = \operatorname*{hocolim}_{[0]\to[k]\leftarrow[\ell]}\ A_\ell \times_{B_\ell} B_k\ .$$

Every object $[0] \to [k] \leftarrow [\ell]$ of the indexing category is the target of some morphism from the object $[0] \to [0] \leftarrow [0]$.

Proof of theorem 2.7. We use the notation of example 2.6.

(i) Let $W = X \boxtimes^{\mathrm{pre}} Y$. We know that this is a fiberwise complete Segal space over $N\mathsf{Fin}$. It was already shown in lemma 2.8 that our map from ΛW to $Z = \mathsf{con}(M \times N)$ is a weak equivalence in degree 0.

(ii) Choose $w \in W_0$. By remark 1.6, the comma construction (W/w) can be identified with a full Segal summand of

$$(X/x) \boxtimes^{\mathrm{pre}} (Y/y)$$

where x and y are the projections of w to X and Y. But (X/x) and (Y/y) are weakly equivalent to configuration categories $\mathsf{con}(U)$ and $\mathsf{con}(V)$ (of certain open sets $U \subset M$ and $V \subset N$, multipatches sufficiently determined by x and y, respectively). So the argument which led to (i) can be used again, and the outcome is that an evident simplicial map

$$\Lambda(W/w) \longrightarrow (Z/x \times y)$$

is, in simplicial degree 0 and up to weak equivalence, the inclusion of a summand.

(iii) It follows from (ii) that $W \to N\mathsf{Fin}$ has property beta.

(iv) It follows from (i), (ii) and remark 3.4 that our map $\Lambda W \to Z$ is a weak equivalence in degree 1.

(v) It follows from (iii) and theorem 3.3 that ΛW is a Segal space.

(vi) It follows from (i), (iv) and (v) that $\Lambda W \to Z$ is a weak equivalence in all degrees. □

4. Fibrant replacement solves some problems

The conservatization functor Λ is related to the identity functor via a zig-zag. So it is not quite a fibrant replacement in the appropriate model category, though for all purposes it serves as such. In this section, we make these ideas a little more explicit. One reason for having model category formulations is that universal properties are easier to formulate and employ, in that they do not involve zig-zags. We use it to obtain the map in the statement of Theorem 2.7.

Throughout this section, B denotes a fixed simplicial space having the Segal property. The category of simplicial spaces over B has two model structures, the injective and the projective, where the weak equivalences are given levelwise (forgetting the reference maps to B). We refer to each of these generically as the levelwise model structure. Starting from the levelwise model structure, we can localize to obtain a new model structure where the fibrant objects are the conservative simplicial spaces over B (which are moreover fibrations in the levelwise model structure).

Proposition 4.1. *There is a zigzag of degreewise weak equivalences between $\Lambda(X)$ and $\mathbf{\Lambda}(X)$, natural in X, where $\mathbf{\Lambda}$ is any fibrant replacement functor in the model structure for conservative simplicial spaces over B.*

Proof. In the diagram

$$\mathbf{\Lambda}(X) \leftarrow \mathbf{\Lambda}(X)^! \to \Lambda(\mathbf{\Lambda}(X))$$

both arrows are (levelwise) weak equivalences; the right-hand one is so because $\mathbf{\Lambda}(X) \to B$ is conservative. Moreover, the map $\Lambda(X) \to \Lambda(\mathbf{\Lambda}(X))$ is a weak equivalence between conservative objects (as one can check by mapping it to any other conservative object), and hence a levelwise weak equivalence. □

For a simplicial space X over B, let $L(X)$ be the simplicial space defined as the homotopy colimit of

$$X \to K(X) \to \mathbf{\Lambda}K(X) \to K\mathbf{\Lambda}K(X) \to \mathbf{\Lambda}K\mathbf{\Lambda}K(X) \to \cdots$$

(over B). Clearly, $L(X)$ is naturally weakly equivalent to $(\Lambda K)^\infty(X)$.

In what follows, we call a simplicial map $X \to B$ *local* if it is a conservative, fiberwise complete Segal space (and the map is a fibration in the levelwise model structure). There is a model structure on the category of simplicial spaces over B where the fibrant objects are the local ones. The generating trivial cofibrations in the levelwise (meaning, projective or injective) model structure are also generating trivial cofibrations for this model structure. In addition, the maps in the category of simplicial spaces over B:

$$\{\Delta[0] \to E \to B\}$$

where E denotes the groupoid with two objects x, y and exactly two non-identity isomorphisms $x \to y$ and $y \to x$,

$$\{S(n) \to \Delta[n] \to B : n \geq 2\}$$

where $S(n)$ denotes the homotopy colimit of $\Delta[1] \xleftarrow{d_1} \Delta[0] \xrightarrow{d_0} \ldots \xleftarrow{d_1} \Delta[0] \xrightarrow{d_0} \Delta[1]$, and

$$\{\Delta[k] \xrightarrow{f^*} \Delta[\ell] \to B : f \text{ surjective } \}$$

are the newly added generating trivial cofibrations (note that these maps are not cofibrations in the projective sense, so in that case we replace each of them by cofibrations between cofibrant objects first). These account for the completeness condition, Segal condition, and conservativity condition, respectively.

Proposition 4.2. *The functor L is a fibrant replacement. In other words:*

1. *$L(X) \to B$ is local, and*
2. *the inclusion $X \to L(X)$ is a local weak equivalence, that is, for every local $Z \to B$, the induced map*

$$\mathbb{R}\mathrm{map}_B(L(X), Z) \to \mathbb{R}\mathrm{map}_B(X, Z)$$

is a weak equivalence.

Proof. We begin with (2). Let $L^i(X)$ denote the i-th space in the tower defining $L(X)$. It suffices to show that for every $i \geq 0$ and every local $Z \to B$, the map

$$\mathbb{R}\mathrm{map}_B(L^i(X), Z) \to \mathbb{R}\mathrm{map}_B(X, Z)$$

is a weak equivalence. Arguing inductively, this amounts to showing that the maps

$$\mathbb{R}\mathrm{map}_B(\mathbf{\Lambda}KX, Z) \to \mathbb{R}\mathrm{map}_B(KX, Z) \to \mathbb{R}\mathrm{map}_B(X, Z)$$

are weak equivalences. And this is clear, because Z is local.

The proof of (1) is essentially an application of the small object argument. We want to show that for every generating trivial cofibration $\iota : A \to C$ (over B) and map $\gamma : A \to L(X)$ (over B), there is a lift as pictured:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & L(X) \\ {\scriptstyle\iota}\downarrow & \nearrow & \\ C & & \end{array}$$

The map γ factors through a finite stage of the tower defining $L(X)$, i.e. it factors through a map of the form (i) $A \to (\mathbf{\Lambda} K)^i X$ or (ii) $A \to K(\mathbf{\Lambda} K)^i X$ for some large enough i. Suppose ι is a trivial conservative cofibration. If ι factors through (i) then such a lift exists by definition; if it factors through (ii), then a lift as pictured below exists:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & K(\mathbf{\Lambda} K)^i X \\ {\scriptstyle \iota}\downarrow & & \downarrow \\ C & \dashrightarrow & (\mathbf{\Lambda} K)^{i+1} X \end{array}$$

since the lower-right corner is conservative. Thus, $L(X)$ has the right lifting property with respect to conservative trivial cofibrations ι. An analogous argument shows that $L(X)$ has the right lifting property with respect to any other generating trivial cofibration ι. □

Corollary 4.3. *Suppose that $X \to B$ is such that $\Lambda K(X) \to B$ is already local (i.e. a conservative, fiberwise complete Segal space over B). Then the inclusion $\mathbf{\Lambda} K(X) \to L(X)$ is a degreewise weak equivalence.*

Proof. The inclusion $\mathbf{\Lambda} K(X) \to L(X)$ is a local weak equivalence, and a map between local objects is a local weak equivalence if and only if it is a degreewise weak equivalence. □

5. Truncation

Let $\mathsf{Fin}_{\leq k}$ be the full subcategory of Fin made up by the objects $\underline{\ell}$ where $\ell = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, k$. The word *truncation*, as used in this section, generally refers to a procedure for restricting something from Fin to $\mathsf{Fin}_{\leq k}$ for a fixed k.

Definition 5.1. The level k *truncation* of a simplicial space X over $N\mathsf{Fin}$ is the degreewise pullback $X^{k]}$ of

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & X \\ & & \downarrow \\ N\mathsf{Fin}_{\leq k} & \longrightarrow & N\mathsf{Fin} \end{array}$$

Remark 5.2. The tensor product $\boxtimes$ has a variant where we begin with fiberwise complete Segal spaces X and Y over $N\mathsf{Fin}_{\leq k}$ and define $X \boxtimes Y$ to be a fiberwise complete and conservative Segal space over $N\mathsf{Fin}_{\leq k}$. This is straightforward. (Requiring X and Y to be conservative over $N\mathsf{Fin}_{\leq k}$ makes sense, but it is optional.)

Proposition 5.3. *The construction $\boxtimes$ commutes with truncation, i.e.*

$$(X \boxtimes Y)^{k]} \simeq X^{k]} \boxtimes Y^{k]}$$

for any two simplicial spaces X and Y over $N\mathsf{Fin}$.

Proof. For a simplicial space W over $N\mathsf{Fin}$, we have that

- $\Lambda(W^{k]}) \simeq (\Lambda W)^{k]}$,
- $K(W^{k]}) \simeq (KW)^{k]}$.

As before, write Z for $X \boxtimes^{\mathrm{pre}} Y$. By definition of the functor L, the simplicial space $L(Z)^{k]}$ is weakly equivalent to the homotopy colimit of

$$Z^{k]} \to K(Z)^{k]} \to \mathbf{\Lambda} K(Z)^{k]} \to K\mathbf{\Lambda} K(Z)^{k]} \to \mathbf{\Lambda} K\mathbf{\Lambda} K(Z)^{k]} \to \cdots$$

(over $\mathsf{Fin}_{\leq k}$). From the observations just above, $L(Z)^{k]}$ is weakly equivalent to $L(Z^{k]})$. The result now follows, using that $X^{k]} \boxtimes^{\mathrm{pre}} Y^{k]} = (X \boxtimes^{\mathrm{pre}} Y)^{k]}$. □

6. Relations and speculations involving the little disks operad

In [1], we showed that the little d-disks operad E_d can be fully reconstructed from the configuration category of $\mathbb{R}^d$. Let us briefly recall how this goes. A simplicial space over the nerve of Fin is the same as a contravariant functor from $\mathsf{simp}(\mathsf{Fin})$, the simplex category of $N\mathsf{Fin}$, to spaces. An object of $\mathsf{simp}(\mathsf{Fin})$ is a string of maps of finite sets. Viewing such a string as a rooted tree (with no leaves), we obtain a functor j from $\mathsf{simp}(\mathsf{Fin})$ to Tree, the category of trees of [8]. Then, by pullback, j determines a functor j^* from Tree-spaces (alias dendroidal spaces) to $\mathsf{simp}(\mathsf{Fin})$-spaces.

Let $\mathcal{C}$ denote the full ∞-subcategory of the category of dendroidal spaces spanned by those which satisfy a Segal condition and have contractible spaces of 0 and 1-arity operations. In [1, section 7], we proved the following.

Theorem 6.1. *The restriction of j^* to $\mathcal{C}$ is homotopically fully faithful.*

Moreover, $j^*E_d \simeq \mathsf{con}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and so the theorem implies that the derived counit

$$\mathbb{L}j_!\mathsf{con}(\mathbb{R}^d) \to E_d$$

is a weak equivalence of dendroidal spaces (in a model structure in which the fibrant objects are precisely the objects of $\mathcal{C}$). An immediate corollary of Theorem 0.1 is then that $j^*E_d \boxtimes j^*E_\ell \simeq j^*E_{d+\ell}$.

We end with a question. Suppose P and Q are operads with contractible spaces of 0 and 1-arity operations and let $P \otimes Q$ denote their (homotopical) Boardman-Vogt tensor product. Under what conditions is there a natural weak equivalence $j^*(P) \boxtimes j^*(Q) \to j^*(P \otimes Q)$? (In this context, a map is a weak equivalence if it is a levelwise weak equivalence after replacing the source simplicial space by one which is in the essential image of $j^*\mathcal{C}$.) Assuming Theorem 0.1, this is indeed the case when P and Q are little disks operads. This follows easily from the additivity theorem and is in fact equivalent to it.

References

[1] P. Boavida de Brito and M.S. Weiss, *Spaces of smooth embeddings and configuration categories*, arXiv:150201640v2

[2] Z. Fiedorowicz and R. M. Vogt, *An additivity theorem for the interchange of E_n structures*, Adv.Math.273 (2015), 421–484.

[3] G. Dunn, *Tensor product of operads and iterated loop spaces*, J.Pure Appl.Algebra 50 (1988), 237–258.

[4] I. Galvez-Carrillo, A. Tonks and J. Kock, *Decomposition spaces, incidence algebras and Möbius inversion*, arXiv:1404.3202.

[5] J. Lurie, *Derived Algebraic Geometry VI: E_k Algebras*, arXiv:0911.0018

[6] D. A. Miller, *Popaths and holinks*, J. Homotopy Relat. Struct. 4 (2009), 265–273.

[7] D. A. Miller, *Strongly stratified homotopy theory*, Trans.Amer.Math.Soc. 365 (2013), 4933–4962.

[8] I. Moerdijk and I. Weiss, *Dendroidal sets*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. 7 (2007), 1441–1470.

Dept. of Mathematics, Instituto Superior Tecnico, Univ. of Lisbon, Av. Rovisco Pais, Lisboa, Portugal
E-mail address: pedrobbrito@tecnico.ulisboa.pt

Math. Institut, WWU Münster, 48149 Münster, Einsteinstrasse 62, Germany
E-mail address: m.weiss@uni-muenster.de